```
1
         IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
2
                   NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
3
4
     W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his )
5
     capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL )
     OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and )
6
     OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE
     ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,)
7
     in his capacity as the
     TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES)
8
     FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
9
                  Plaintiff,
10
                                    ) 4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ
     VS.
11
     TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,
12
                  Defendants.
13
14
                       VOLUME I OF THE VIDEOTAPED
15
     DEPOSITION OF INDRAJEET CHAUBEY, PhD, produced
16
     as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiff in the above
17
     styled and numbered cause, taken on the 27th day of
18
     January, 2009, in the City of Tulsa, County of
19
     Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, before me, Lisa A.
20
     Steinmeyer, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly
21
     certified under and by virtue of the laws of the
22
     State of Oklahoma.
23
24
25
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

1	A So if the total numbers that you measure at			
2	Highway 59 bridge does not change, if that stayed			
3	the same, then percentage of point source			
4	contribution would decrease and percentage of			
5	non-point source contribution would increase, but if 10:41AM			
6	the numbers go down similarly, then you may have to			
7	look at that data.			
8	Q Okay. In your professional experience and			
9	review of published literature, are you aware of any			
10	published paper that contradicts the findings and 10:41AM			
11	conclusions shown in Exhibit 8?			
12	MS. LONGWELL: Object to form.			
13	A No.			
14	Q Based on the numbers on Table 2, Page 6 that			
15	you talked about earlier, the 1.8 million kilograms 10:42AM			
16	in 1997 versus the total input of 3.1 million			
17	kilograms, and based upon your knowledge, skill and			
18	education and training, including review of			
19	published literature, do you have an opinion whether			
20	poultry production practices of land applying waste 10:43AM			
21	is a substantial contributor of the phosphorus to			
22	the overall phosphorus loads within the watershed?			
23	MS. LONGWELL: Object to form.			
24	MR. GEORGE: Object to form, vague, calls			
25	for an expert opinion that's not been found by this 10:43AM			
1				

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

1	witness.				
2	A A	Yes.			
3	Q	And what would be that opinion?			
4	_	MR. GEORGE: Same objection.			
5	A	Based on inputs, poultry litter is the	1 A - A 3 X N		
6		ant source of phosphorus in the watershed.	10:43AM		
7	Q				
8		All right. Is there anything else in your			
		knowledge, experience that you rely on in making			
9	that o	that opinion besides this Table 2?			
10		MS. LONGWELL: Object to form.	10:43AM		
11	A	Other litter from this watershed and other			
12	watersheds and published journals and reports from				
13	others.				
14	Q	All right. Let's talk a little bit about some			
15	termin	terminology. Are you familiar with the term surface 10:44AM			
16	runoff and well, let me just ask that. Are you				
17	familiar with that term?				
18	A	Yes.			
19	Q	In a hydrologic concept, can you tell the			
20	court what that means? 10:44AM				
21	A	What it means is when it rains, part of the			
22	precipitation travels through the soil surface or				
23	land surface, and that is primarily the surface				
24	runoff	. It can also represent some of the water			
25	that t	cravels partially through the subsurface but	10:44AM		
l					

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878